### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL MCCANTS :	CIVIL ACTION
4110 W Girard Ave. : Philadelphia, PA 19106 :	NO
Plaintiff, :	
<b>v</b> .	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA : 1501 Market Street : Philadelphia, PA 19102 :	
and	
PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT: 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106	
and :	
SERGEANT KIMBER ZERWECK c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 3901 Lancaster Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19104 (individually and in his official capacity as a member of the Philadelphia Police Dept.)	<b>:</b>
and :	
OFFICER JOHN DOE I c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106 (individually and in his official capacity as a member of the Philadelphia Police Dept.)	
and :	
OFFICER John Doe II c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106 (individually and in his official capacity as a	

member of the Philadelphia Police Dept.) :

Defendants. :

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

AND NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, by and through his undersigned legal counsel of record, Ari R. Karpf, by way of Complaint against the above-named Defendants upon a cause or causes of action, does hereby aver and state as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. This action is instituted under the United States Constitution, particularly under the provisions of the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, and under federal law, particularly the Civil Rights Act of 1871, hereinafter sometimes referred to as the "Act", as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1988.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, §1343 (3), §1343 (4) and §1367, regarding the principles of pendent and supplemental jurisdiction over related state law claims.
- 3. Venue in the Eastern District is properly laid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, in so far as the following alleged unlawful conduct complained of in this Complaint, which forms the factual and legal basis of the claims of the Plaintiffs, arose within the geographical limits of this District.

#### **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff Michael McCants (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") is an adult *sui juris* individual, with an address as set forth above in the caption.

- 5. Defendant Sergeant Kimber Zerweck (hereinafter referred to as "Zerweck"), upon information and belief, is an adult *sui juris* individual who is currently serving in his capacity as a sworn officer in the 16<sup>th</sup> District of the City of Philadelphia Police Department, and is entrusted with the power to enforce laws of this Commonwealth, and the City of Philadelphia. Defendant Zerweck is entrusted to protect the Constitutional rights of those he encounters and at all times relevant hereto was acting within the scope of his duties and authority, under color or title of state or municipal public law or ordinance and supervised or controlled one or more of the other Defendants herein, or acted in concert with one or more of the other individual Defendants in the performance or conduct of their actions.
- 6. Defendant John Doe I (hereinafter referred to as "Doe I"), upon information and belief, is an adult *sui juris* individual who is currently serving in his capacity as a sworn officer in the 16<sup>th</sup> District of the City of Philadelphia Police Department, and is entrusted with the power to enforce laws of this Commonwealth, and the City of Philadelphia. Defendant Doe I is entrusted to protect the Constitutional rights of those he encounters and at all times relevant hereto was acting within the scope of his duties and authority, under color or title of state or municipal public law or ordinance and supervised or controlled one or more of the other Defendants herein, or acted in concert with one or more of the other individual Defendants in the performance or conduct of their actions.
- 7. Defendant John Doe II (hereinafter referred to as "Doe II"), upon information and belief, is an adult *sui juris* individual who is currently serving in his capacity as a sworn officer in the 16<sup>th</sup> District of the City of Philadelphia Police Department, and is entrusted with the power to enforce laws of this Commonwealth, and the City of Philadelphia. Defendant Doe III is entrusted to protect the Constitutional rights of those he encounters and at all times relevant

hereto was acting within the scope of his duties and authority, under color or title of state or municipal public law or ordinance and supervised or controlled one or more of the other Defendants herein, or acted in concert with one or more of the other individual Defendants in the performance or conduct of their actions.

- 8. Defendant City of Philadelphia (hereinafter "the City") is organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with the capacity to sue and be sued. The City is the legal and political entity responsible for the Philadelphia Police Department, its officials and employees.
- 9. Defendant Philadelphia Police Department (hereinafter referred to as the "Police Dept.") is a governmental entity within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania empowered to enforce the laws within its jurisdiction for the purpose of protecting and preserving the persons and property within the geographical and legal jurisdiction of the city of Philadelphia.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. On July 13, 2010, at approximately 1:00 a.m., Plaintiff was walking from Penn Presbyterian Medical Center to his home after receiving medical treatment and being told by doctor not to drive a motor vehicle, which he left parked at said medical center.
- 12. A police car driven by Doe I approached and stopped near the intersection of 41<sup>st</sup> and Poplar Street.
  - 13. Doe I asked Plaintiff where he was coming from and other general questions.
- 14. Plaintiff showed Doe I the arm band from the hospital and gave a clear explanation of his origin and destination.

- 15. Doe I exited the police car and requested Plaintiff's identification.
- 16. Plaintiff showed Doe I his job ID card and explained that he wore police pants, and had handcuffs and holster because he was an armed municipal security guard.
  - 17. Doe I then told Plaintiff to wait while he checked for warrants.
  - 18. A second police car arrived at the scene, driven by Doe II.
- 19. Doe II stated that Plaintiff was obviously not who they were looking for in reference to someone the police were potentially looking for in the greater Philadelphia area.
- 20. Zerweck came on the police radio and requested Doe I and Doe II bring Plaintiff to Zerweck's location despite Plaintiff's obvious non-involvement in any criminal conduct and notwithstanding Plaintiff's repeated requests to go home because of his medical concerns.
- 21. Doe I then placed Plaintiff in his patrol car to transport him to Zerweck's location and Plaintiff was not free to leave and had been placed in custody.
- 22. Upon arrival at Zerweck's location, Zerweck asked where Plaintiff's car was at, to which Plaintiff responded that it was at Penn Presbyterian Medical Center.
- 23. Plaintiff requested that he be freed so he could continue home because he was not feeling well and was taking medication.
- 24. Zerweck asked why Plaintiff was walking home at 1:00 a.m. from a hospital and proceeded to continuously interrogate Plaintiff without basis, reasonable suspicion or probable cause.
  - 25. Plaintiff then asked if he was under arrest.
  - 26. Zerweck then began assaulting Plaintiff with his night stick.
- 27. Zerweck then pulled Plaintiff out of the back of Doe I's patrol car and placed handcuffs on him.

- 28. Zerweck then continued to assault Plaintiff with his night stick, followed by forcefully hitting Plaintiff's face on the patrol car.
  - 29. Zerweck then placed Plaintiff in the back of Doe I's patrol car.
- 30. Doe I then drove Plaintiff back to Penn Presbyterian Medical Center after Plaintiff said he needed medical attention as a result of being assaulted by Zerweck.
- 31. The physician who originally treated Plaintiff saw him again and diagnosed him with abrasions, contusions and back problems shortly after Plaintiff had been assaulted by the police.
- 32. Plaintiff suffered a swollen jaw, cuts inside his mouth, cuts on his back, a laceration on his hand and back pain.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's arrest and beating were premised upon his race (African American) and Defendants were Caucasian, and irrespective of race, were without provocation and/or basis.

# Count I <u>Violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983</u> (Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Defendants)

- 34. The foregoing paragraphs are fully incorporated herein as though set forth at length.
- 35. The actions of all the Defendants, collectively and individually in planning, conducting, and carrying out the assault of Plaintiff McCants, and the uncontrolled, unwarranted, and illegal beating deprived said Plaintiff of his constitutional rights as guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution, Section 8 of the Pennsylvania Constitution and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, in *inter alia*, the following manners:
  - (a) in the unlawful stop of the Plaintiff;

- (b) in the unlawful arrest and detention of Plaintiff;
- (c) in the unreasonable use of force or use of excessive force in the said beating of the Plaintiff at the hands of the Defendants;
- (d) \_\_in\_the\_unlawful\_assault and /\_or battery of the Plaintiff at the hands of the Defendants;
  - (e) in the unlawful restraint of the Plaintiff by the Defendants;
  - (f) in the intentional or negligent infliction of emotional distress upon the Plaintiff;
  - (g) in the development, implementation, and carrying out of a policy, practice or procedure designed to allow the use of excessive force, unlawful searches and seizures, physical assaults on innocent citizens, and deprivation of Constitutional rights of citizens;
  - (h) in the failure of the individual Defendants to preclude, prevent, or restrain the other individual Defendants from effecting such deprivation of constitutional rights.
  - (i) in the development, implementation, and the carrying out of a policy which posed a threat to the law abiding citizens of the City of Philadelphia and those who visit the City of Philadelphia, by the negligent retention of personnel and the negligent assignment of certain personnel to high risk units that would result in the likelihood of serious injury to innocent citizens or visitors to the City of Philadelphia;
  - (j) in developing, implementing, and carrying out a policy, practice, procedure or custom which made no reasonable efforts to comply with

- standards for investigatory stops and police encounters and the utilization of equipment and training that would have prevented the overreaction by the police to the events of that evening;
- (k) in condoning and conducting and failing to restrain an unlawful and unjustified application of force in violation of the Constitutional rights of said Plaintiff;
  - (l) in developing, implementing, and carrying out a policy, practice, procedure, or custom which amounted to cruel and unusual punishment and a deprivation of life and liberty actionable under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983;
  - (m) in developing, implementing, and carrying out a policy, practice, procedure, or custom which made no reasonable or proper provision for the application of force for the preservation of personal safety of persons such as Plaintiff McCants, when their personal safety was placed in jeopardy by the ultra-hazardous activities carried out by one or more of the Defendants;
  - (n) in deliberately failing to train, or continue the training of, all the Defendants in the recognition of the proper use of force and proper techniques of conducting a lawful traffic stop;
  - (o) in failing to properly train, supervise, monitor, and control the actions of all the Defendants, so that the proper prior policy, practice, procedure, or custom could be accomplished safely and without the use of excessive force or undue risk to persons situated similarly to said Plaintiff in that the

- Defendants failed to follow training procedures and policy that would have prevented the assault conducted by them on said Plaintiff and others, allowing the Defendants to overreact and injure said Plaintiff; and
- (p) in failing to oversee, monitor, control, curtail, or restrain the actions of the individual Defendants in carrying out such operations when all of the Defendants knew, or should have known, from prior operations of this kind, and/or from prior acts of misconduct by the individual Defendants, that, if not conducted in compliance with prior training and with the utilization of proper equipment by the individual Defendants, that the likelihood of property damage, physical and psychological injury, and the deprivation of the Constitutional rights of innocent citizens would be substantial.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid actions and omissions of all of the Defendants in developing, implementing, and carrying out the aforesaid policy or policies or procedures, the Plaintiff has suffered injuries, losses, and/or damage entitlements including, but not limited by specification to, the following:
  - a. loss of the use, benefit and enjoyment of life;
  - b. physical and mental pain and suffering and anguish, and embarrassment and humiliation;
  - c. physical injuries, all causing severe pain; loss of valuable and inalienable rights to
    be free from unlawful search, free from illegal restraint and loss of freedom of
    association, as well as personal and familial privacy;
  - d. a potential loss of income and future medical expenses;

- e. punitive damages, which are justified factually and legally due to the outlandish and outrageous conduct, actions, and/or omissions of one or more of the Defendants in their individual capacity as aforesaid; and
- f. Attorney fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

## Count II Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1986 Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Individual Defendants

- 37. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 38. Defendant Officers, both in their individual and official capacities, had knowledge of the discrimination/violation of constitutional rights perpetrated on Plaintiff, but neglected and/or failed to prevent said wrongful and illegal acts when they had the power and statutory obligation to do so.
- 39. Defendants' neglect, aid and refusal to prevent and/or rectify infringement of the constitutional rights of Plaintiff constitutes a violation of the Civil Rights Act, Section 1986.
- 40. As a proximate and direct result of the above mentioned acts, Plaintiff has been damaged and has suffered injuries and was forced to endure physical pain, suffering and emotional distress, as well as mental anguish stemming from the deprivation of her rights.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally,

molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

### Count III Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 —Plaintiff-Michael-McCants v. All Individual Defendants

- 41. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 42. At all times relevant herein, Defendants did conspire to deprive Plaintiff of his constitutionally and statutorily guaranteed rights pursuant to Constitution to the United States.
- 43. At all times relevant herein, Defendants further conspired to conceal the deprivations of liberties set forth above.
- 44. These conspiracies constituted and continue to constitute ongoing violations of 42 U.S.C. Section 1985.
- 45. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' unlawful conspiracy to deprive Plaintiff of his aforesaid rights, Plaintiff has and continues to suffer the damages set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

## Count IV <u>False Imprisonment</u> (Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Individual Defendants)

46. The foregoing paragraphs are fully incorporated herein as though set forth at length.

- 47. Defendant Officers intended and actually confined Plaintiff without probable cause.
  - 48. Plaintiff was conscious of the confinement.
- 49. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' unlawful and/or tortious conduct,
  Plaintiff has and continues to suffer the damages set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

## Count V <u>Assault and Battery</u> (Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Individual Defendants)

- 50. The foregoing paragraphs are fully incorporated herein as though set forth at length.
- 51. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' unlawful and/or tortious conduct, Plaintiff has and continues to suffer the damages set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

## Count VI <u>Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</u> (Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Individual Defendants)

52. The foregoing paragraphs are fully incorporated herein as though set forth at length.

- 53. The aforesaid extreme and outrageous conduct, acts, and/or omissions of the Defendants in the scope of their employment, or acting independently, were calculated, designed, and intended by the Defendants to intentionally inflict deliberate emotional distress, psychological trauma, and psychic pain and suffering upon said Plaintiff and to instill in his mind an immediate and permanent sense of fear and trepidation, and said conduct, acts, or omissions surpass all bounds of decency universally recognized in a civilized society.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid conduct, acts, and/or omissions of the Defendants, which constitute extremely outrageous conduct, said Plaintiff has suffered, is suffering, or will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future the following: `
  - (a) emotional and psychological distress and trauma;
  - (b) mental anguish;
  - (c) psychic pain and suffering;
  - (d) severe fright, horror, and grief;
  - (e) shame, humiliation, and embarrassment;
  - (f) severe anger, chagrin, disappointment, and worry, and;
- (g) justified punitive damages, both factually and legally, because of the outlandish and outrageous conduct, actions, and/or omissions of one or more of the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal and state law.

## Count VII <u>Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress</u> (Plaintiff Michael McCants v. All Individual Defendants)

- 55. The foregoing paragraphs are fully incorporated herein as though set forth at ——length.
  - 56. The aforesaid negligent conduct, acts, and/or omissions of the Defendants in the scope of their employment, or acting independently, were calculated, designed, and intended by the Defendants to intentionally inflict deliberate emotional distress, psychological trauma, and psychic pain and suffering upon said Plaintiff and to instill in his mind an immediate and permanent sense of fear and trepidation, and said conduct, acts, or omissions surpass all bounds of decency universally recognized in a civilized society.
  - 57. As a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid conduct, acts, and/or omissions of the Defendants, which constitute extremely outrageous conduct, said Plaintiff has suffered, is suffering, or will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future the following:
    - (a) emotional and psychological distress and trauma;
    - (b) mental anguish;
    - (c) psychic pain and suffering;
    - (d) severe fright, horror, and grief;
    - (e) shame, humiliation, and embarrassment;
    - (f) severe anger, chagrin, disappointment, and worry, and;
  - (g) justified punitive damages, both factually and legally, because of the outlandish and outrageous conduct, actions, and/or omissions of one or more of the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Michael McCants, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court award a Judgment in his favor and against all named Defendants, jointly and severally, molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth-in-applicable-federal-and-state-law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF & KARPF

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire 3070 Bristol Pike Building 2, Suite 231 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: August 23, 2010

#### Case 2:10-cv-04259-LDD Document 1 Filed 08/23/10 Page 16 of 19

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Michael McCante	nichall	MCCante
-----------------	---------	---------

CIVIL ACTION

			U		
Λ ,	^	DA	مأما	Intain	, etal.
112	I (H	IIYY	JK INU	<i>XY-Y</i> (W)	
ÇE C	1 0		•	•	•

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

								50 60 60 60	ላ ፣ ሌላ አለን ሚኒስያ ነውን <sub>የ</sub> ፈ	( ) ( ) ( )
		÷	•	-			A YYTYN TOT	ለአመን የህ	G A CCHOMPHINE E 1879	
				$\dot{\sim}$	married Trans	TOY NO.	TIME	LASWINIAL	AFO DEVICE A CAME	
~ >	~~	T7 ("1")	CANH	K I IH	7 H B.	nulle	Unuv	01.00	VAGEMENT TRA	

(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	( )
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	(a )
(c) Arbitration—Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	.(,)
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special	
the court. (See reverse side of Bus form for a design of the cases.)	( )
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)

Date

(215) 639-0801

(215) 639-4970

Attorney-at-law

FAX Number

Plaintiff.

Attorney for

akarpf@karpf-law.com

E-Mail Address

Telephone

Case 2:10-cv-04259 LDDRMDooument of to interest of 10 Page 17 of 19 of Accident, Incident of Transaction: tis civil action involve a nongoverrumental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? ach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with TedR Civ.P. 7.1(a)) this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? ATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated cases are deemed related when yes is arewered to any of the following questions: this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? oes this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated nion in this court? loes this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously erninated action in this court? AL (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Federal Question Cases: ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts. 2 Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation ☐ FELA 4. D Marine Personal Injury I Iones Act Personal Injury 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury ☐ Antitrust 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Paterit 7. Troducts Liability ☐ Labor-Management Relations 8. Products Liability - Ashestos Civil Rights 9 D All other Diversity Canes Habeas Corpus ☐ Securities Ad(s) Cases (Please specify) Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and helief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case sicceed the sum of \$150,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge. The within case is not related to any case now princing or within one year previously terminated action in this coun

#### **DEFENDANTS ADDRESSES**

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1501 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

and \_\_\_\_

PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

and

SERGEANT KIMBER ZERWECK c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 3901 Lancaster Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19104

and

OFFICER JOHN DOE I c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

and

OFFICER John Doe II c/o Philadelphia Police Dept. 750 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19106

### Case 2:10-cv-04259-LDD Document 1 Filed 08/23/10 Page 19 of 19 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	ROCTIONS ON THE REVEN			DEFENDANTS	<del></del>			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
MCCANTS, MICHAEL				CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.				
(b) County of Residence of I	First Listed Plaintiff Pl	hiladelphia		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Philadelphia		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	, Address, Telephone Nui	mber and Email Add	ress)	NOTE: IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CASES, 1	USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
Karpf & Karpf, P.C., 3070				LAND	INVOLVED,			
Bensalem PA 19020, (215	o) 639-0801, akarpto	@karpf-law.com		Attomeys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Bay Only)	шс	TIZENSUID OF I	DDINCIDAL DADTIE	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
		One Box Only)	III. C	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)		
D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No	ot a Party)	Citiz		TF DEF  I I Incorporated or of Business In T			
D 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	J 2	d Principal Place 🔲 5 🗇 5		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				of Business I	n Another State		
÷		:		en or Subject of a Coreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	у)			NA A A SIGNER, INCLUDENCE MARKET FOR DAMAGE AND RESIDENCE	Trus Mara		
	PERSONAL INJURY	IS PERSONAL INJUR		ORISEBRURE/RENAVAYS 10 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	☐ 63	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability (	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881		<ul><li>430 Banks and Banking</li><li>450 Commerce</li></ul>		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ &Enforcement of Judgment		Product Liability  368 Asbestos Persona		30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck	■ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	□ 6:	50 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans ☐	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		60 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	□ 69	90 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐	•	<ul><li>371 Truth in Lending</li><li>380 Other Personal</li></ul>		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	SSOCIATISECURITY  ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐		385 Property Damage Product Liability		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury			& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □		TRISONER RETITO  3 510 Motions to Vacat		40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:  530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<ul> <li>895 Freedom of Information</li> <li>Act</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	444 Welfare	35 Death Penalty		TANAMO KANOM	<b>X</b>	900Appeal of Fee Determination		
☐ 290 All Other Real Property		<ul><li>540 Mandamus &amp; Oti</li><li>550 Civil Rights</li></ul>		62 Naturalization Applicatio 63 Habeas Corpus -	on	Under Equal Access to Justice		
0		555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee		□ 950 Constitutionality of		
×	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		4	65 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN Place an "2" Place an "2" Proceeding Proceeding		Remanded from	J 4 Rein	nstated of anot	sferred from 6 Multid	Appeal to District istrict 7 Judge from Magistrate		
		• •		- USDE	cify) nal statutes unless diversity	ludoment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of car	ise:						
VII. REQUESTED IN		S A CLASS ACTION		OO, Faise imprisor	nment, Assault & Bat	nly if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2			, EMARKE &	JURY DEMAN			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S	(Nee instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
Explanation:			$\overline{}$					
9/2/2011			$/\!\!/$					
DATE		SIGNATURE	R ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD				